

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO).	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/777,735		02/06/2001	Edward E. Williams	GOEA 1803001	8711
32233	7590	06/08/2006		EXAM	INER
STORM I	L.L.P.			FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR	
BANK OF	AMERIC	CA PLAZA			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
901 MAIN STREET, SUITE 7100				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DALLAS,	DALLAS, TX 75202				

DATE MAILED: 06/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>`</u>			
<i>1</i>	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Author Occupan	09/777,735	WILLIAMS, EDWARD E.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724	
The MAILING DATE of this communi	cation appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply Any reply received by the Office later than three months at earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re unication. tutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT will, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. Sply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) file 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 3)□ Since this application is in condition 	b)⊠ This action is non-final.	ers, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practic	ce under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6,8-10,12,14 and 16-4</u> 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>17-40</u> is/are 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)□ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4,6,8-10,12,14,16 and 4</u> 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from consideration. 11-44 is/are rejected.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including 11) The oath or declaration is objected to	a) accepted or b) objected to be tion to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand the correction is required if the drawing(s	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
2. Certified copies of the priority of	documents have been received. documents have been received in Apolitical of the priority documents have been received in Apolitical (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F	ΓΟ-948) Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to applicant's amendment received on 02/06/06.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 6, 8-10, 14, 16, 41-43 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoshi (5191819) in view of Altenburg (4251198) and Van Osenbruggen (5947805).

Hoshi discloses the method for manufacturing a rotatable cutting blade substantially as claimed including: selecting a substantially circular cutting blade holder 31 (see Fig. 15) made of a first material having two spaced apart faces (spaced by the width of the blade holder), inserting a piece of a second material (61 and 61a), the piece of second material having sufficient height to extend at least one edge of the cutting blade beyond the plane defined by at least one face one face (Fig. 16), the second material is sharpened before inserting them into each channel (Fig. 2) and the first material made of carbon steel(col. 1, line 24). Hoshi does not show a plurality of cutting blade channels 12 forming an angle with respect to a plane defined by the faces, forming a cutting plane parallel to the plane defined by at least one face of the cutting blade holder, bonding each said piece of second material, sharpening said pieces and at least a

Application/Control Number: 09/777,735

Art Unit: 3724

portion of at least one edge of the cutting blade is sharpened such that the sharpened edge is parallel to the plane defined by the face. However, Hoshi teaches in Fig. 12 a plurality of cutting blade channels 55a forming an angle with respect to a plane defined by the faces 51, it would obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Hoshi's embodiment of Fig. 15 by providing the plurality of cutting blade channels for better orienting the cutting plane, since it has been held that constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art. *Nerwin v. Erlichman*, 168 USPQ 177, 179.

Altenburg teaches the use of at least one edge 30 of the cutting blade that is sharpened such that the sharpened edge is parallel to the plane defined by the face (Fig. 3) for the purpose of defining an effective cutting edge for cutting extruded material. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Hoshi's device by providing the step of the cutting blade that is sharpened such that the sharpened edge is parallel to the plane defined by the face as taught by Altenburg in order to obtain a device that defines an effective cutting edge for cutting extruded material.

Regarding the step of permanent bonding each said piece of second material to said cutting blade holder, sharpening said pieces and brazing with a solder along substantially the entire length of said cutter blade channel, Van Osenbruggen teaches the step of bonding each said piece of second material to said cutting blade holder (col. 9, line 53-54), sharpening said pieces (col. 5, lines 3-6 and col. 9, lines 40-42) and brazing with a solder along substantially the entire length of said cutter blade channel (col. 9, line 38-39) for the purpose of attaching the cutter to the holder and making the device practical for commerce. It would have been obvious

Application/Control Number: 09/777,735

Art Unit: 3724

to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Hoshi's device by providing the step of bonding each piece of second material to the cutting blade holder, sharpening the pieces and brazing with a solder along substantially the entire length of the cutter blade channel as taught by Van Osenbruggen in order to obtain an easy attachment of the cutter to the blade holder and make the device practical for commerce.

Page 4

4. Claims 4 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoshi (5191819) in view of Altenburg (4251198) and Van Osenbruggen (5947805) as applied to claims 1 and 9 above, and further in view of Kubis.

The modified device of Hoshi discloses the method for manufacturing a rotatable cutting blade substantially as claimed except for molding process. However, Kubis teaches the use of a molding process (see col. 4, line 11-13 and 15-17) for the purpose of obtaining a high level of dimensional accuracy. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Hoshi's device by providing the molding process as taught by Kubis in order to obtain a high level of dimensional accuracy for the blade holder.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/777,735

Art Unit: 3724

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 571-272-4507.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Boyer Ashley can be reached on 571-272-4502. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ofs

5/30/06

KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER

M

Page 5